SENATE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY, UTILITIES AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senator Steven Bradford, Chair 2023 - 2024 Regular

Bill No: SB 83 **Hearing Date:** 4/24/2023

Author: Wiener

Version: 3/30/2023 Amended

Urgency: No Fiscal: Yes

Consultant: Nidia Bautista

SUBJECT: Public utilities: electrical distribution grid: interconnection

DIGEST: This bill requires electrical corporations to interconnect development projects to the electrical distribution system within eight weeks for projects defined as interconnection ready. Additionally, this bill requires electrical corporations to compensate development projects for failing to meet the deadline.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Establishes and vests the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) with authority over public utilities, including electrical corporations. (California Constitution Article XII)
- 2) Authorizes the CPUC to establish an expedited distribution grid interconnection dispute resolution process with the goal of resolving disputes over interconnection applications within the jurisdiction of the CPUC in no more than 60 days from the time the dispute is formally brought to the CPUC. (Public Utilities Code §769.5)
- 3) Requires an electrical corporation to permit any new or existing customer who applies for an extension of service from that electrical corporation to install an electric extension in accordance with the regulations of the CPUC and any applicable specifications of that electrical corporation. (Public Utilities Code §783)
- 4) Establishes guidelines for the design, cost allocation, and responsibilities of a project applicant and a utility for electric distribution line extensions necessary to furnish permanent electric service. (Electric Rule 15)

SB 83 (Wiener) Page 2 of 8

5) Establishes guidelines for the design, cost allocation, and responsibilities of a project applicant and a utility for the extension of electric service from an investor-owned utility (IOU) distribution line. (Electric Rule 16)

This bill:

- 1) Defines "interconnection ready" to mean the phase in a development project in which all critical dependencies, including all necessary inspections, certifications, and permits needed for an interconnection, have been completed and the project site is accessible to an electrical corporation's construction resources.
- 2) Requires an electrical corporation to interconnect a development project with the electrical corporation's electrical distribution grid within eight weeks of receiving a notification from a development project applicant that the development project is interconnection ready.
- 3) Specifies that this eight-week period does not apply if an issue specific to the development project or the project site arises that would prevent the electrical corporation from safely completing the interconnection within the eight-week time period and would require the electrical corporation to work with the development project applicant to establish an alternative time period.
- 4) Requires each electrical corporation to annually report to the CPUC the number of applications for interconnection with the electrical corporation's distribution grid and the time period in which the electrical corporation interconnects the development project with its electrical distribution grid after the electrical corporation was notified that the development project was interconnection ready.
- 5) Requires an electrical corporation to compensate a development project applicant for failing to meet the eight-week time period described above or the alternative time period. Requires the CPUC to arbitrate any dispute related to the claim for compensation.

Background

Connecting to the electric distribution grid. Rules governing the ability of new buildings and generation and storage resources to connect to the electric distribution grid are generally determined by statute, CPUC rules, and tariffs, (i.e., document that specify rates, charges, rules, and conditions under which an

SB 83 (Wiener) Page 3 of 8

electrical corporation will provide services to the public) for each of the electrical corporations. These service connections include:

Interconnections, which generally refers to the interaction of physical connection of an energy generation or storage device to the electric distribution system that is either in front of the meter or behind-the-meter. Interconnection is a defined term in utility tariff rules that generally describes an electric utility's physical connection to an external source of power.

Electric Tariff Rule 21 is a tariff that describes the interconnection, operating and metering requirements for generation facilities to be connected to an electrical utility's distribution system. The tariff provides customers who would like to install generating or storage facilities on their premises with access to the electric grid while protecting the safety and reliability of the distribution and transmission systems at the local and system levels. Each electrical corporation is responsible for administration of the rule in its service territory and maintains its own version of the tariff.

New service connections refers to extending an electricity line or expanding distribution infrastructure to service new or expanded customer load, known as "energization."

Electric Tariff Rule 15 (Distribution Line Extensions) relates to distribution line extensions. Specifically, new distribution facilities that are a continuation of, or branch from, the nearest available existing permanent distribution line (including any facility rearrangements and relocations necessary to accommodate the extension) to the point of connection of the last service. Rule 15 generally pertains to electric distribution grid equipment used by multiple customers, for example, a transformer serving multiple homes.

Electric Tariff Rule 16 (Service Line Extensions) relates to service line extensions. The overhead and underground primary or secondary facilities (including but not limited to utility-owned service facilities and applicant owned service facilities) extending from the point of connection at the distribution line to the service delivery point. Rule 16 generally pertains to network equipment used by just one customer.

Electric Tariff Rules 15 and 16 establish the guidelines for design, cost allocation, and responsibilities of a project applicant and a utility for electric distribution line extensions. The ability to connect to the larger electrical system can take months (if not, years, in some cases) as the process can entail the need for designs,

SB 83 (Wiener) Page 4 of 8

assessments on costs allocations associated with improvements on the electric distribution system to allow for the connection, and other issues. In the case of new building developments, depending on the size of the development, the need for electric service extensions may be needed in phases over months, or years. The building developers may have been approved for particular requirements during the initial application but have later found they need to make changes as they are in the midst of a project development.

Growing backlog for utility energization projects. The demands for new service connections and/or upgrades to existing distribution lines have been increasing, especially as California advances policies to deploy more electric vehicles, shift natural gas usages to electricity in buildings, and increase housing supply, including affordable housing. These projects all rely on access to the electric utility grid and, often require upgrades to the electric distribution system. Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic has created supply shortages and challenges affecting many sectors of the economy, including limiting access to electrical equipment needed to connect new customers or expand energy load, such as transformers.

The challenges have been especially acute within the Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) service territory as the backlog for energization projects has grown substantially and delays have increased. The utility company has acknowledged the growing backlog of identified capacity work that has delayed – sometimes by years – the in-service dates for new business customers. This bill author's office requested information from the CPUC regarding the backlogs for new multi-family and commercial construction projects from the state's three largest electric IOUs – PG&E, Southern California Edison (SCE), and San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E). Among the three utilities, PG&E reported the most projects and the most delayed backlog of projects, with many falling over 90 days to construct. Whereas, the other two utilities took within 30-60 days to construct.

PG&E has shared that it is attempting to better manage their queue for projects. The utility recently formed a monthly Technical Committee work group led with representatives from their labor partners, California Building Industry Association, and regional building association staff and members to work on all technical and field issues. These monthly meetings are used to provide updates on the actions underway to improve the new service connection process, the impacts of those actions and next steps. Additionally, these meetings are used to collaborate and collect feedback on the improvement efforts and to address emerging areas of concern or interest. Nonetheless, the backlog is a growing frustration for the utility, project developers, customers, and others waiting to have their projects energized.

Need for legislation. New service connections are generally a routine and core electric utility function. Unfortunately, the growing backlog of projects has led to frustrated customers, including affordable housing developers, local governments, and other customers which has sparked the desire for legislation. As the process to energize projects entails many factors and stakeholders, it can be challenging to pinpoint the areas of delay. The backlog of projects at the state's largest utility may be unique to their service territory, management of their queue and unique issues to their system. This bill prescribes an eight week deadline by when electric IOUs must energize "interconnection ready" projects. While the author has attempted to limit the scope of the process to the final portion of the new service or line extension project, the opposition has raised concerns that the timeline does not fully account for many of the variables that may be outside the control of the electric IOU. Moreover, the opposition expresses concerns that the proposed timeline and penalties do not attempt to resolve the underlying problems but may instead further contribute to increasing disputes between the electric IOU and project proponents.

Amendments needed. The author has attempted to limit the eight-week time period to the final segment of the energization process for these projects. However, concerns remain that the eight-week timeframe may not account for additional variables outside the control of the utility. Therefore, the author and committee may wish to amend this bill to propose the time period target is established via a collaborative process among stakeholders at the CPUC by a date certain.

Additionally, the penalties proposed in this bill may prove to be too high. Based on conversations with the author's office, the proposed penalties are intended to reflect a \$5,000 daily penalty for a half acre development project to be used as a deterrent to ensure the utilities meet the proposed time periods. While the author and sponsors are valid in their concerns about the need to address the growing backlog of projects at PG&E, the financial impacts of the proposed penalties could further jeopardize the ability to energize future projects. In this regard, the author and committee may wish to amend this bill require the CPUC to determine the penalty which shall be no more than twenty-five cents per square foot of the area covered by the development project.

Additional clarifying amendments to reference "energization projects" instead of "interconnection."

Prior/Related Legislation

SB 410 (Becker, 2023) requires the CPUC to establish a working group to improve the ability of the electric IOUs to be informed of needed distribution capacity and requires the CPUC to establish timelines for interconnection projects. The bill is pending in the Senate.

AB 1026 (Wood, Chapter 446, Statutes of 2019) required an electrical or gas corporation to apply only those construction and design specifications, standards, terms, and conditions that are applicable to a new extension of service project for the 18 months following the date the application for a new extension of service project is approved. Authorizes an electrical or gas corporation to adopt modifications, as specified, of the construction and design specifications, standards, terms, and conditions of a new extension of service project.

AB 2861 (Ting, Chapter 672, Statutes of 2016) authorized the CPUC to establish an expedited dispute resolution process for generating facility interconnection disputes.

SB 48 (Vuich, Chapter 1229, Statutes of 1983) established rules governing the extension of service by gas and electrical corporations to new residential, commercial, agricultural, and industrial customers.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

SUPPORT:

How to ADU

Construction Employers' Association, Co-sponsor
Housing Action Coalition, Co-sponsor
American Planning Association, California Chapter
California Conference of Carpenters
California Housing Consortium
California Housing Partnership
California State Council of Laborers
California Teamsters Public Affairs Council
California YIMBY
Civic Well
DignityMoves
East Bay YIMBY
Greenbelt Alliance
Grow the Richmond
Habitat for Humanity California

Mountain View YIMBY

Napa-Solano for Everyone

Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California

Northern Neighbors

Peninsula for Everyone

People for Housing Orange County

Progress Noe Valley

Rural County Representatives of California

San Francisco YIMBY

San Luis Obispo YIMBY

Santa Cruz YIMBY

Santa Rosa YIMBY

South Bay YIMBY

Southside Forward

SPUR

The Two Hundred for Home Ownership

Ventura County YIMBY

YIMBY Action

OPPOSITION:

California State Association of Electrical Workers Coalition of California Utility Employees Pacific Gas & Electric San Diego Gas & Electric Company Southern California Edison

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: According to the author:

Senate Bill 83 addresses utility-related delays in new and existing construction by requiring Investor-Owned Utility (IOU) Companies to complete interconnection work within 8 weeks of a project being completely ready for connection to the energy distribution grid. The legislation also specifically creates an off-ramp to exempt IOUs from those timelines in the event of an unforeseen circumstance after a project is ready for interconnection.

While project applicants have reported delays at several post entitlement phases, delays of new construction at the final energization stage are especially notable barriers to progress towards accelerated housing production and energy decarbonization. At the point that a project is ready for this step, there is little to nothing else standing in the way of the project's

SB 83 (Wiener) Page 8 of 8

completion, making such delays especially frustrating. Clear timelines and incentives for reliable interconnection must be in place for the state to better improve the reliability and rate of new housing construction and energy upgrades, helping the state meet its twin housing and climate goals.

In support of this bill, People for Housing states:

...delays of new construction at the final energization stage are especially salient. As of February 2023, there were 134 construction-ready projects awaiting final energization by the state's largest IOU – Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) – for longer than 8 weeks. These projects are completely constructed and ready for occupancy – they are just awaiting final runthrough of wiring to connect or reconnect the project with the energy distribution grid.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: The Coalition of California Utility Employees and the California State Association of Electrical Workers oppose this bill contending that the eight-week timeline and corresponding financial penalties for failing to meet the timelines proposed in this bill are arbitrary. They argue "the bill does not attempt to address any of the underlying problems and instead would impose a uniform, ineffective solution."

SDG&E, SCE, and PG&E oppose this bill stating: (1) this bill would penalize electric corporations for prioritizing emergency customer restoration over new business connections; (2) the one-size fits all approach will not work for the diverse needs of California's customers and businesses; (3) this bill focuses on project-by-project arbitration instead of improving the processes for involved that actually eliminate waste and drive down project costs which can be accomplished without legislation.